

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,866	02/07/2001	Alfred Heinrich	2549-6	2549-6 4045	
23117	7590 12/09/2004		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			AHMAD, I	AHMAD, NASSER	
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	N, VA 22201-4714		1772		
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/777,866	HEINRICH, ALFRED				
Autisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nasser Ahmad	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cf.	f extension and the corresponding amou he shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the maili	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);					
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cancelir	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	nuse it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:		·				
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 13-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
0. Other:	, , , , _					
		15 Almos				
		N				

Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772 Continuation of 2. NOTE: The phrases "plural projections" and "plural recesses" have not been considered before. The phrase "by means of frictional and/or positive connection" is deemed to be new matter in the absence of support therefor.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presented are directed solely to the amendments made to the claims and said amendments raise new issues that would require further search and consideration. As for the Burleigh device being entirely different in function and effect from the invention as claimed, applicant is informed that the instant claims are directed to "a composite article" only.